

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexasdra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,941	12/03/2001	Carlos De La Huerga	250591.90317	8257
Michael A. Jaskolski Quarles & Brady, LLP 411 East Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee. WI 53202			EXAMINER	
			COBANOGLU, DILEK B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		3626		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/004.941 DE LA HUERGA, CARLOS Examiner Art Unit DILEK B. COBANOGLU 3626

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DILEK B. COBANOGLU.

(3)Carlos de la Huerga (Inventor).

(2) Michael A. Jaskolski (Reg. No. 37,551).

(4)\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 23 February 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Gombrich (4.835.372).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant, his Representative and Examiner discussed about proposed amendments to the independent claim 1. Examiner will reconsider the rejections of the pending claims in light of any newly submitted amendments and/or arguments.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Dilek B Cobanoglu/ Examiner, Art Unit 3626